City of San Diego Multiple Species Conservation Program

Summary of Monitoring Results for A mbrosiapumila

July 2000

Introduction

San Diego ambrosia (*Ambrosia pumila*) is a sensitive plant species whose northernmost distribution is in southern Riverside County. This perennial herb species was once more common in northern Baja California, Mexico but its distribution has been significantly reduced by expansion of agricultural land use. Modern land use within San Diego County has also greatly reduced the historic distribution of San Diego ambrosia. This plant species also appears not reproduce from seed but instead it grows off of a rhizome-like root structure below ground. If San Diego ambrosia only reproduces vegetatively and is limited in its ability to sexually reproduce, it may not be able to adapt to changing conditions. This may also be partially responsible for the limited distribution of San Diego ambrosia.

Monitoring for this plant in Mission Trails Regional Park (MTRP) was conducted on July 25, 2000 by Holly Boessow, Keith Greer, Jeanne Krosch, Mel Naidas, and Paul Kilberg. The largest patch of San Diego ambrosia in MTRP, which is located adjacent to the Kumeyaay Lake Campground, was surveyed. The patch surveyed is identified as patch C6 in the City of San Diego Mission Trails Regional Park San Diego Ambrosia Management Plan (Dudek & Associates, 2000). The goal of the effort was to establish baseline data for long-term monitoring of San Diego ambrosia under the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP).

Methodology

Monitoring for this species was conducted in accordance with the Biological Monitoring Plan for the Multiple Species Conservation Program, dated January 25, 1996. Previous surveys in MTRP were conducted by Dudek & Associates in 1998 (Dudek & Associates, 2000).

The largest patch of San Diego ambrosia at MTRP (patch C6) was chosen as a sampling area. An accidental fire burned the sampling area in 1999 and City of San Diego staff wanted to determine if the fire had effected the population in this area. Transects were selected randomly and steel rods were installed to indicate the location of each transect. An attempt was made to sample 5% of the total sampling area. However, the total number of transects (N=5) and total number of quadrats (N=207) only sampled approximately 3% of the total sampling area.

A one meter square (1 m^2) quadrat was used to define the quadrat boundary and estimate population size. The 1 m^2 quadrat was placed along the transect so that the string bisected the quadrat. Each plant located within the 1 m^2 quadrat was counted and the total number for each quadrat was recorded. Quadrats were placed at 1 m intervals along each transect.

Results and Conclusions

Data from the monitoring effort are shown on the attached monitoring data forms. It is estimated from the results of the transects that approximately 121,702 adult individuals of San Diego ambrosia were found in patch C6 in MTRP adjacent to the Kumeyaay Lake Campground. In

comparison, approximately 208,855 individuals in patch C6 in 1998 (Dudek and Associates, 2000). Flowering adults were not counted separately from non-flowering adults because the **plant's** seeds are not considered viable according to viability testing conducted in 1998 (Dudek & Associates, 2000). No seedlings or juvenile plants were observed. Every effort was made to locate juvenile and seedling plants. The surveyors were visually familiar with all stages of the plants' growth. Nevertheless, it is possible that the less obvious seedlings and juveniles were present but missed during the survey since these growth stages tend to be more cryptic than adults.

Although no conclusions can be definitively made from two years of surveys, there are three possible reasons why the number of San Diego ambrosia plants estimated in 2000 surveys of patch C6 are lower than the numbers given in the **1998** surveys of patch C6. One factor may have been the accidental fire in 1999. It was anticipated that this **fire** would benefit San Diego ambrosia by removing competing non-native grasses. However, the **fire** may have temporarily impacted the plant species count. Another factor may be low rainfall in 2000. Comparatively, **1998** had much more rainfall and could have lead to additional plant germination that did not occur in 2000. Finally, the transects from **1998** could not be relocated and the survey effort did not cover 5% of the sampling area as recommended. This may have resulted in lower estimated numbers during the 2000 survey effort.

Recommendations

Additional surveys should be conducted on-site to determine the status of San Diego ambrosia. Survey should be extended to all patches and locations within **MTRP**. Additional populations of San Diego ambrosia have been documented in Santee and Spring Canyon in Otay Mesa. The City of San Diego should extend survey efforts to Spring Canyon and coordinate with any surveys that the City of Santee has conducted in order to determine the regional status of the species.

As part of the 2001 surveys, locations of all the transects will be surveyed in using a Geographic Positioning System (GPS). This will help future survey efforts relocate the transects each year. Consistently using the same transect locations to sample the population will also allow for a more accurate comparison of the annual changes in this species estimated population size.

References

Dudek & Associates, Inc. May 15, 2000. City of San Diego Mission Trails Regional Park San Diego Ambrosia Management Plan. 34pp. + appendices.

MONITORING DATA FORMS

FINAL SUMMARY FORM COVERED PLANT SPECIES MONITORING

| COVERED SPECIES MONITORING LOCATION MONITORING DATE | Ambrosia pomila (PANK BUIN Alea MISSION TAAILS REGINAL PALK BUIN Alea 7/25/00 |
|--|---|
| I. POPULATION DENSITY | |
| NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SAMP AREA SAMPLED = NUMBER OF QUADRATS = | $\frac{\text{LED}}{201\text{m}^2} = \frac{3625}{207}$ |
| DENSITY OF AREA SAMPLED = | NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS = AREA SAMPLED |
| II. POPULATION SIZE | |
| III. AGE CLASS STRUCT | $\frac{121}{12} = 121702$ |
| AGE CLASS STRUCTURE = NIIM | BER OF OUADRATS IN WHICH THE AGE CT.ASS OCCURS(1) TOTAL NUMBER 0? QUADRATS SAMPLED |
| SEEDLINGS | - % = Non-Flowening |
| JUVENILES | ~ Adults were not consted sepentely |
| FLOWERING ADULTS— | per festing conducted in 1998 |
| NONFLOWERING ADULT | ۲S% |
| NOTES:' | |

(1) Refer to field data collecton form for number of quadrats in which each age class occurs and the total number of quadrats sampled

DATA REDUCTION FORM COVERED PLANT SPECIES MONITORING

| COVERED SPECIES | Ambrosia P | umila | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|--|
| MONITORING LOCATION TOTAL AREA SAMPLED NUMBER OF TRANSECTS NUMBER OF QUADRATS | MISSION TRAILS | REGIONAL PARK - Lampo | nound | BUIN Area | |
| | 5 | TOTALTRANSECTLENGTH | 414 | 414 m | |
| | 207 | TOTAL QUADRAT SIZE | 207 | MZ | |

| TRANSECT NUMBER | NUMBER OF PLANTS | AGECLASSES | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| | | SEEDLING | JUVENILE | ADULT FL | ADULT NFL |
| 1 | 463 | 1 - | 1 - | | - |
| 2 | 215 | - | | | |
| 3 | 1925 | - | - | - | |
| 4 | fe^l | | | - | - |
| 5 | 333 | - | | | - |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| N | 207 | | | | 1 |
| SUM | 3625 | | | | |
| MEAN | 725 | | | | |
| STANDARD DEVIATION | 69 3,4883 | | | | |
| VARIANCE | 480926 | | | | |

¹ADULT FL = ADULT FLOWERING; ADULT NFL = ADULT NONFLOWERING.

2.98% 6954.4 m2 NOTES: Sampled 207m2 TOTAL ANLA STA Sampiel

SURVEY LOCATION FIGURES

